

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF

PROPOSITION 63

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Proposition 63

*Terrorists don't follow the law!*

Gavin Newsom refuses to acknowledge that the Orlando and San Bernardino attacks were ISIS inspired Islamic radicalism. It is the same ideology that motivated the 9/11 terror attacks that killed 2,996 innocents.

Exploiting terrorist attacks to push sweeping laws affecting law-abiding peoples' civil liberties is misleading, wrong, and dangerous.

None of the proposed laws would prevent terrorist attacks. The reality is terrorists can always find the means to wreak havoc, a box cutter in a plane on 9/11, a homemade bomb in Boston, or a truck in Nice, France. Terrorists and criminals get weapons from the black market, make them, or steal them from law-abiding citizens.

Everyone agrees that preventing weapons from falling into the wrong hands is crucial. We all share the concern about the growing trends of terrorism and radicalization.

*But, Prop 63 is NOT the answer.*

Spending tens of millions of taxpayer dollars year after year on useless lists of everyone who buys and sells ammunition diverts critical resources and focus away from effective anti-terrorism efforts, leaving the public more vulnerable to attack and *LESS SAFE*.

*There's a reason law enforcement overwhelmingly opposes Prop 63.*

The public interest would be better served if these resources were used to educate more Californians about what they can do to protect their families and communities from terrorist attacks or to further train law enforcement to do so.

*Stop this dangerous abuse of public resources.*

Vote NO on Prop 63!

Alon Stivi  
President  
Direct Measures International, Inc.

William "Billy" Birdzell  
US Special Operations Command Anti-Terrorism Instructor

Richard Grenell  
Longest serving U.S. Spokesman at the United Nations

**SUBJECT TO COURT  
ORDERED CHANGES**